



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,629	05/14/2001	Morten Jorsboe	9192.15USWO	6531
23552	7590	08/13/2004	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			KALLIS, RUSSELL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

814.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/762,629	JORSBOE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis	1638	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (1) <u>Russell Kallis.</u> | (3) <u>Denise Kettelberger.</u> |
| (2) <u>David Fox.</u> | (4) <u>Garen Gotfredson.</u> |

Date of Interview: 02 August 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all, particular Claim 74.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dormann P. et al. The Plant Journal, 1998, Vol. 13 No. 5 pp. 641-652; and Hess D. et al. Journal of Plant Physiology, 1984 Vol. 116, No. 3, pp. 261-272.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Russell Kallis
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to provide reference to DNA sequences to support a written description at the time of the effective filing date for UTP-dependent pyrophosphorylase (2.7.7.10). The Dormann reference (The Plant Journal) was discussed with respect to enablement of a method of selecting for plants transformed with DNA encoding enzymes that compensate for the rate limiting step(s) in removing galactose from a selection medium and as an art reference with respect to a method of selecting for plants growing in a galactose medium transformed with UDP-glucose epimerase (5.1.3.2). Further discussion of enablement of the UTP-dependent pyrophosphorylase and galactokinase encoding sequences for overcoming the toxic effects of galactose. Hess et al was discussed as prior art that teaches a method of galactose selection for plants transformed with UDP-glucose-dependent uridyl transferase (2.7.7.12).